NUNO C. Santos Bontono Gomes Soma Gonesahes G.

Jury Meeting Minute

2 POSTDOCTORAL Researchers References IMM/CT/40-2020 and IMM/CT/41-2020

Instituto de Medicina Molecular João Lobo Antunes (iMM) opens a call to hire Two Postdoctoral Researchers correspondent to the initial level according to the Applicable Regulation, under an <u>Unfixed-term contract</u> under Sistema de Incentivos às Atividades de Investigação e Desenvolvimento e Investimento em Infraestruturas de Ensaio e Otimização (Upscaling) no contexto do COVID-19 - Quadro Temporário relativo a medidas de Auxílio Estatal em apoio da economia no atual contexto do surto de COVID-19 - Aviso n.º 15/SI/2020 funded by Fundos Europeus Estruturais e de Investimento (FEEI) — Portugal 2020, under the project "Multifunctional sanitizer" (UVtizer).

Regulation

- Decree-Law nr 57/2016, from 29th August, altered by Law nr 57/2017, from 19th July, that approves a Doctoral Hiring regime to stimulate Scientific and Technological Employment in all knowledge areas (RJEC).
- Portuguese Labor Law, approved by Law nr 7/2009, from 12th February in its current writing.
- Regulatory Decree Nr 11-A / 2017, of 29th December.

The job advert was published in EraCareers on 22nd July 2020, and also disseminated in iMM website and EURAXESS Portal.

The call was opened for 30 working days starting on 27th July and ending on 4th September 2020, having applied the following candidates:

- Ana Tomás

André Brás Gonçalves

- Andreia Martins

- Anna Reichel

- Cláudia Bravo

- David Botequim

- Diana Delgado

- Ibtissem Hammouda

Maria Helena Cardoso

- Michelle Pereira

- Nuno Carmo

- Nuno Coelho

- Sílvia Correia

The applicant David Botequim was excluded since he did not provided all required documents as indicated in the job advert.

On the 11th of September, 2020, the jury composed by Professor Nuno Santos (President of the Jury and Project´s PI), Sónia Gonçalves and Bárbara Gomes (all PhD's), analyzed the application documents (- Detailed CV;

- Motivation letter in English; - PhD Certificate; - Other documents that applicant may considered to be relevant to prove the scientific course (Optional)) in accordance to the profile and work plan indicated in the job advert.

All admitted applications were analyzed according to the following selection method, which was also indicated in the job advert:

• Curriculum Vitae (75%) based on the following criteria:

- Executed and/or published scientific work, with special emphasis on areas related to the work plan (20%);
- Research experience and relevant knowledge in the area of the proposed work plan as described in the candidate profile (55%).
- Motivation Letter (15%) based on the following criteria:
 - Motivation and interest for the activities to be performed (10%);
 - Command of the English language (5%).

The analysis and discrimination of each candidate classification in the First Phase are presented in the table of Annex I where all admitted applicants were listed by alphabetic order.

Following this, 5 applicants obtained a score equal and/or higher than 40%. In this case, the applicants selected for interview are identified below. The interview took place on the 16th of September, 2020.

- Ana Tomás
- Andreia Martins
- Anna Reichel
- Nuno Carmo
- Sílvia Correia

INTERVIEW (10%)

Communication and social skills, grasp of the project, commitment and independent thinking were considered during the interview:

The analysis and discrimination of each candidate classification in the Second Phase and the total classification in both phases are presented in the table of Annex II attached to this minute.

Lisbon, 16th of September, 2020

Nuno Santos

NUNO C. Sautos

(President of the Jury and Responsible for the project)

Sónia Gonçalves

Soura Gouçahes G

Bárbara Gomes

Validation by the Heads of the Institution

Professor Maria-Carmo Fonseca President of iMM Professor Maria M. Mota Executive Director

NUNO C. Santos Barbara Gones Soma Gones A.

Employment Contract - Ref. IMM/CT/40-2020 and IMM/CT/41-2020

Applicants	CV (75%)								Motivation Letter (15%)								Total ANNEX
	Executed and/or pu		ork, with special emph rk plan (20%)	nasis on areas related	Research experience and relevant knowledge in the area of the proposed work plan as described in the candidate profile (55%)				Motivation and interest for the activities to be performed (10%)				Command of the English language (5%)				1
Name of Jury	Nuno Santos	Sónia Gonçalves	Bárbara Gomes	Average	Nuno Santos	Sónia Gonçalves	Bárbara Gomes	Average	Nuno Santos	Sónia Gonçalves	Bárbara Gomes	Average	Nuno Santos	Sónia Gonçalves	Bárbara Gomes	Average	
	14%	14%	14%	14,00%	46,75%	46,75%	46,75%	46,75%	9%	9%	9%	9,00%	5%	5%	5%	5,00%	74,75%
Ana Tomás	Good CV, adequate for this call	Good CV, adequate for this call	Good CV, adequate for this call		Valuable know- how and reserach experience related with the work plan	Valuable know- how and reserach experience related with the work plan	Valuable know- how and reserach experience related with the work plan		Very good motivation letter	Very good motivation letter	Very good motivation letter		Letter with the necessary knowledge of English	Letter with the necessary knowledge of English	Letter with the necessary knowledge of English		
	10%	10%	10%	10,00%	11%	11%	11%	11,00%	5,5%	5,5%	5,5%	5,50%	5%	5%	5%	5,00%	31,50%
André Gonçalves	Average CV, mostly unrealated with this call	Average CV, mostly unrealated with this call	Average CV, mostly unrealated with this call		The expertise does not match well with the work plan	The expertise does not match well with the work plan	The expertise does not match well with the work plan		Average motivation letter	Average motivation letter	n Average motivation letter		Letter with the necessary knowledge of English	Letter with the necessary knowledge of English	Letter with the necessary knowledge of English		
	12%	12%	12%	12,00%	44%	44%	44%	44,00%	8,5%	8,5%	8,5%	8,50%	5%	5%	5%	5,00%	69,50%
Andreia Martins	Reasonable CV, adequate for this call	Reasonable CV, adequate for this call	Reasonable CV, adequate for this call		Valuable know- how and reserach experience related with the work plan	Valuable know- how and reserach experience related with the work plan	Valuable know- how and reserach experience related with the work plan		Very good motivation letter	Very good motivation letter	Very good motivation letter		Letter with the necessary knowledge of English	Letter with the necessary knowledge of English	Letter with the necessary knowledge of English		
	18%	18%	18%	18,00%	49,5%	49,5%	49,5%	49,50%	8,5%	8,5%	8,5%	8,50%	5%	5%	5%	5,00%	81,00%
Anna Reichel	Very good CV, adequate for this call	Very good CV, adequate for this call	Very good CV, adequate for this call			Excellent matching with the work plan			Very good motivation letter	Very good motivation letter	Very good motivation letter		Letter with the necessary knowledge of English	Letter with the necessary knowledge of English	Letter with the necessary knowledge of English		
	4%	4%	4%	4,00%	16,5%	16,5%	16,5%	16,50%	5,5%	5,5%	5,5%	5,50%	5%	5%	5%	5,00%	31,00%
Cláudia Bravo	Limited CV	Limited CV	Limited CV		The expertise does not match well with the work plan	The expertise does not match well with the work plan	The expertise does not match well with the work plan		Average motivation letter	Average motivation letter	n Average motivation letter		Letter with the necessary knowledge of English	Letter with the necessary knowledge of English	Letter with the necessary knowledge of English		
	12%	12%	12%	12,00%	11%	11%	11%	11,00%	6%	6%	6%	6,00%	5%	5%	5%	5,00%	34,00%
Diana Delgado		Reasonable CV, but inadequate for this call			The expertise does not match well with the work plan	The expertise does not match well with the work plan	The expertise does not match well with the work plan		Average motivation letter	Average motivation letter	n Average motivation letter		Letter with the necessary knowledge of English	Letter with the necessary knowledge of English	Letter with the necessary knowledge of English		

Nuno C. Sautos Borbora Gones Soura Gones G.

	1	1							1	1	1						
	8%	8%	8%	8,00%	8,25%	8,25%	8,25%	8,25%	4%	4%	4%	4,00%	5%	5%	5%	5,00%	25,25%
Ibtissem Hammouda		Reasonable CV, but inadequate for this call			Expertise and formation mostly in the Chemistry area	Expertise and formation mostly in the Chemistry area	Expertise and formation mostly in the Chemistry area		Unsound motivation letter	Unsound motivation letter	Unsound motivation letter		Letter with the necessary knowledge of English	Letter with the necessary knowledge of English	Letter with the necessary knowledge of English		
	4%	4%	4%	4,00%	19,25%	19,25%	19,25%	19,25%	6%	6%	6%	6,00%	5%	5%	5%	5,00%	34,25%
Maria Helena Cardoso	The information provided does not demonstrate an adequacy for this call	The information provided does not demonstrate an adequacy for this call	The information provided does not demonstrate an adequacy for this call		not match well	The expertise does not match well with the work plan	not match well		Average motivation letter	Average motivation letter	Average motivation letter		Letter with the necessary knowledge of English	Letter with the necessary knowledge of English	Letter with the necessary knowledge of English		
	6%	6%	6%	6,00%	22%	22%	22%	22,00%	5,5%	5,5%	5,5%	5,50%	5%	5%	5%	5,00%	38,50%
Michelle Pereira	Reasonable CV, but not specially adequate for this call	Reasonable CV, but not specially adequate for this call	Reasonable CV, but not specially adequate for this call		not match well	The expertise does not match well with the work plan	not match well		Average motivation letter	Average motivation letter	Average motivation letter		Letter with the necessary knowledge of English	Letter with the necessary knowledge of English	Letter with the necessary knowledge of English		
	13%	13%	13%	13,00%	46,75%	46,75%	46,75%	46,75%	8%	8%	8%	8,00%	5%	5%	5%	5,00%	72,75%
Nuno Carmo	Good and adequate CV, with most publications as midle author and with not much recent articles	Good and adequate CV, with most publications as midle author and with not much recent articles	Good and adequate CV, with most publications as midle author and with not much recent articles			Valuable know- how and reserach experience related with the work plan			Good motivation letter	Good motivation letter	Good motivation letter		Letter with the necessary knowledge of English	Letter with the necessary knowledge of English	Letter with the necessary knowledge of English		
	7%	7%	7%	7,00%	19,25%	19,25%	19,25%	19,25%	6%	6%	6%	6,00%	5%	5%	5%	5,00%	37,25%
Nuno Coelho	Reasonable CV, but not specially adequate for this call	Reasonable CV, but not specially adequate for this call	Reasonable CV, but not specially adequate for this call		not match well	The expertise does not match well with the work plan	not match well		Average motivation letter	Average motivation letter	Average motivation letter		Letter with the necessary knowledge of English	Letter with the necessary knowledge of English	Letter with the necessary knowledge of English		
	10%	10%	10%	10,00%	44%	44%	44%	44,00%	8,5%	8,5%	8,5%	8,50%	5%	5%	5%	5,00%	67,50%
Silvia Correia	Adequate CV, but with just a few publications considering the length of the research work	Adequate CV, but with just a few publications considering the length of the research work	Adequate CV, but with just a few publications considering the length of the research work			Valuable know- how and reserach experience related with the work plan			Very good motivation letter	Very good motivation letter	Very good motivation letter		Letter with the necessary knowledge of English	Letter with the necessary knowledge of English	Letter with the necessary knowledge of English	Letter with the necessary knowledge of English	

NUNO C. Santos Bontono Gomes Soma Gone, a hes G.

Employment Contract - Ref. IMM/CT/40-2020 and IMM/CT/41-2020

Applicants		Intervie	Total ANNEX II	Total classification (Annex I + Annex II)			
Name of Jury	Nuno Santos Sónia Gonçalves Bárbara Gomes Avera						
	8,50%	8,50%	8,50%	8,50%	8,50%	83,25%	
Ana Tomás	Very good performance in all the aspects under evaluation	Very good performance in all the aspects under evaluation	Very good performance in all the aspects under evaluation				
	7,0%	7,0%	7,0%	7,00%	7,00%	76,50%	
Andreia Martins	Very good performance in most of the aspects under evaluation	Very good performance in most of the aspects under evaluation	Very good performance in most of the aspects under evaluation				
	9,0%	9,0%	9,0%	9,00%	9,00%	90,00%	
Anna Reichel	Excellent performance in all the aspects under evaluation	Excellent performance in all the aspects under evaluation	Excellent performance in all the aspects under evaluation				
	7,0%	7,0%	7,0%	7,0%	7,00%	79,75%	
Nuno Carmo	Very good performance in most of the aspects under evaluation	Very good performance in most of the aspects under evaluation	Very good performance in most of the aspects under evaluation				
	6.,5%	6,50%	6,50%	6,50%	6,50%	74,00%	
Silvia Correia	Good performance in most of the aspects under evaluation	Good performance in most of the aspects under evaluation	Good performance in most of the aspects under evaluation				